

Rating Scale: 1 is the lowest rating with 3 being the highest				
Criteria	1 • Not Acceptable	2 • Borderline	3 • Exceptional	Score
Alignment to the conference strand.	The conference strand and theme are not incorporated into the proposal.	The conference strand and theme is somewhat incorporated into the proposal.	The conference strand and theme are clearly incorporated into the proposal.	
Supports or identifies specific goals from the NRC Framework, NGSS, or state standards and the contemporary research connected to those standards.	The proposal provides no reference to and does not identify specific goals from the NRC Framework, NGSS, or state standards. There is no degree of connection to these goals.	The proposal seems to build upon a specific goal from the NRC Framework, NGSS, or state standards and has some degree of connection to this goal(s). The connection can be interpreted rather than evidenced.	The proposal builds upon a specific goal from the NRC Framework, NGSS, or state standards and has a high degree of connection to this goal(s). One can easily see the connection to the Framework, NGSS, or state standards. The connection can be evidenced .	
The proposal is grounded in equity or Science/STEM for all.	The proposal provides no indication that the session is grounded in strategies, ideas, or guidance in providing science for all (equitable classroom practices, including all students in learning, inclusive environments, OR culturally relevant pedagogies).	The proposal references specific strategies, ideas, or guidance in providing science for all (equitable classroom practices, including all students in learning, inclusive environments, OR culturally relevant pedagogies). However, the description/abstract does not provide information about the extent to which the session will be grounded in these practices.	The proposal has specific strategies, ideas, or guidance in providing science for all (equitable classroom practices, including all students in learning, inclusive environments, OR culturally relevant pedagogies) and provides multiple examples of how these practices will be demonstrated or addressed in the session.	
The proposal engages session participants in classroom/ leadership examples or specific classroom/leadership strategies OR includes examples of assessments (formative and summative), classroom lessons or units, or student work.	The proposal does not engage session participants through classroom/leadership examples or specific classroom/leadership strategies OR the proposal provides no examples of assessments (formative and summative), use of lessons or units, or student work in the session description/ abstract.	The proposal provides at least one example of how the proposed session will include classroom/leadership examples or specific classroom/leadership strategies OR examples of assessments (formative and summative), use of lessons or units, or student work. It is clear that the use of these/this example will be an important part of the session.	The proposal provides at least one example of how the proposed session will include classroom/leadership examples or specific classroom/leadership strategies OR examples of assessments (formative and summative), use of lessons or units, or student work. It is clear that the use of these/this example will be a large focus of the session/integral piece.	
The proposal addresses current issues/hot topics (as identified by you) that have clearly defined takeaways for the attendee	The proposal does not address current issues/hot topics (as identified by you) and/ or does not have a clearly defined takeaway for attendees.	The proposal addresses a current issue/hot topic OR has a clearly defined takeaway for attendees but not both.	The proposal both addresses a current issue or hot topic AND has a clearly defined takeaway for attendees.	
The proposal is concise, clear, organized, and well-written.	The proposal contains several spelling, punctuation, and grammar errors.	The proposal contains minimal errors in spelling, punctuation, and grammar.	The proposal is clear , and contains no noticeable spelling, punctuation, or grammar issues.	

TOTAL

Strands and Review Criteria

The Premier Science and STEM Teaching and Learning Event of the Fall

Strands provide a foundation and context for proposals for the sessions convened at the 2023 NSTA National Conference on Science Education in Kansas City. The descriptions and examples below provide some additional clarity about the strand and what will be prioritized when evaluating proposals for inclusion in the NSTA program. The list of examples is not meant to be all-inclusive.

Proposals that focus on strategies and ideas centering on diversity, equity, and inclusion will be prioritized as part of [NSTA's strategic plan](#) is to equip and empower all educators in providing access and opportunity for all students to be successful in science and STEM.

Strand	Descriptions
STEM Haven	Proposals in this strand will focus on transdisciplinary learning (engaging students where disciplines converge to solve real-world problems). For learning to be considered <i>transdisciplinary</i> , it should focus on solving real-world problems using knowledge and skills from two or more disciplines (science, technology, engineering, math, humanities, arts, computer science). Proposals in this strand should share tools, strategies, and ideas where students apply knowledge and skills from multiple disciplines to create and innovate solutions. Priority will be given to proposals that do one or more of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Offer learning opportunities driven by a specific problem where multiple disciplines are needed to develop a solution • Offer learning opportunities for students in contexts of societal relevance and student/community interest • Offer opportunities for student action and impact • Offer opportunities to integrate science and robotics, computer science, or artificial intelligence.
Tech Tools	Teaching is a passion, a calling, and a purpose; it can also be a source of stress and a heavy workload. Sessions in this strand focus on technology tools and strategies that, when implemented thoughtfully and emphatically, can positively impact student learning for all and/or provide support for teachers to reduce anxiety. Bring your tech tools to aid teachers in streamlining instruction, gathering, analyzing, and/or responding to data, managing the classroom or workday, tracking student data, increasing overall efficiency, and communicating with parents. This strand focuses on tech tools for teachers or students.
Students and Sensemaking	When students-as-scientists and engineers have authentic, relevant opportunities to actively make sense of the world and beyond- what we call sensemaking- science learning becomes engaging, accessible, and important to all students. Four attributes of sensemaking are phenomena, science and engineering practices, student ideas, and science ideas (grade-appropriate disciplinary core ideas). In this strand, we invite educators to share how they have integrated the pillar(s) of sensemaking into their practice. Particular emphasis will be placed on sessions that provide strategies for design or assessment using at least one of the pillars in combination with student work, student video, or specific examples of the strategy in the classroom and the impacts on student learning.
Leadership & Advocacy	Proposals in this strand should focus on supporting science/STEM leaders as change agents or on raising the profile of science education. The target audience can be educators or partners in the classroom, building/site, district, or at the national level. Examples include professional development (job-embedded professional learning, enactment of high-quality curriculum, instruction and/or assessment), emerging research areas, science/STEM professional learning for administrators, management ideas, leading and learning, school branding and social media, strategic communication techniques, working with new teachers, and retaining teachers.
Research to Practice	Proposals in this strand should focus on highlighting a specific research project, publication, or finding in education and how it can be implemented in the classroom. Proposals that use specific classroom examples or specific classroom strategies will be prioritized.

Review Criteria

The following key elements will be used by reviewers to evaluate session proposals.

- Alignment to conference strand and theme.
- Degree of connection to the [Framework](#), [NGSS](#), state standards, or peer-reviewed contemporary research.
- Focus on equity or Science/STEM for all
- Use of specific classroom examples, student work, specific strategies, or specific projects/lessons/units.

NSTA is seeking proposals for the following session types:



Conference Strands

- STEM Haven
- Tech Tools
- Students and Sensemaking
- Leadership and Advocacy
- Research to Practice



Conference Strands

- STEM Haven
- Tech Tools
- Students and Sensemaking
- Leadership and Advocacy
- Research to Practice



PRESENTATION

Conference Strands

- STEM Haven
- Tech Tools
- Students and Sensemaking
- Leadership and Advocacy
- Research to Practice



WORKSHOP

Conference Strands

- STEM Haven
- Tech Tools
- Students and Sensemaking
- Research to Practice