

Should Dinosaurs Be "Cloned" from Ancient DNA?

Part I—"Introduction"

by Constance M. Soja, Department of Geology Deborah Huerta, Science Library Colgate University

The year is 2020. You have pursued various careers in science, business, medicine, etc., in the 20 or so years since you graduated from Fullam University. Because of your knowledge about evolution and the dinosaur fossil record, you've been asked to participate in a landmark case that will decide if dinosaurs should be cloned from ancient DNA. Experts with various backgrounds and interests are being assembled to aid in the decision-making process. You and your colleagues will be deciding if extinct species should be brought back to life. You don't have long to decide either—new cloning techniques are being tested around the world and the possibility of resurrecting extinct species may soon be within our grasp. Karelis Securities has offered to sponsor your participation, so you and other Fullam alums have been brought together for a debriefing of the situation.

Here's what you learn:

"Many of you remember from the evolution class you took at Fullam at the beginning of this century that dinosaurs were the dominant forms of life on land for more than 100 million years. Dinosaurs lived on all continents in a wide spectrum of environments from the poles to the tropics. All of the evidence suggests that dinosaurs were successful, complex animals well adapted to conditions on Earth. In fact, many scientists believe that the evolutionary potential of mammals was suppressed throughout the entire Mesozoic because of the supremacy and dominance of the dinosaurs. Only during the breakup of Pangea and after dinosaurs were removed from the scene did mammals undergo an evolutionary radiation to occupy many of the niches left vacant as a result of the Cretaceous/Tertiary mass extinction.

In the decades since the asteroid hypothesis was first proposed by Walter Alvarez and others to explain the sudden demise of the dinosaurs 66 million years ago, scientists have continued to accumulate incontrovertible evidence that the extinction of the dinosaurs was caused by an impacting asteroid from outer space. Substantiation of this theory proves that dinosaurs became extinct NOT because of "bad genes" or a lack of adaptability to natural changes taking place on Earth but because of random bad luck caused by a horrifying extraterrestrial event that wiped out a significant percentage of all Earth's species at the end of the Mesozoic.

With recent advances in molecular biology, we now have at our disposal the technology that will enable us to reverse this unfortunate set of circumstances for the dinosaurs. Sources of dinosaur DNA have been identified at several (secret) sites around the world. Available technology will enable us to extract the fossilized DNA from dinosaur remains, purify it, concentrate or amplify it, and replicate it before implanting the dinosaur DNA into donor eggs from closely related species. Here is our chance to undo the after-effects of the asteroid and return to Earth closely managed members of the dominant life forms that preceded us in time.

Since the evolution of *Homo sapiens* in the past half million years, we have been accused of propagating a new mass extinction. Many scientists now believe that the so-called "Sixth Extinction" began in the Pleistocene as recently as 50,000 years ago when humans as hunters or disease vectors began a worldwide decimation of megafauna (i.e., large-bodied mammals). Scientists from every continent have expressed their growing concerns that this mass extinction event continues to accelerate today, rivaling the Mesozoic mass extinction in the scope and intensity of species extinctions around the globe. With new cloning techniques, we now have within our grasp the opportunity to reverse the deadly decline of global biodiversity and reinstate to Earth critical members of global ecosystems that existed here only a short time ago, geologically speaking.

Your decision will determine the ultimate fate of the dinosaurs. Should they be doomed to extinction forever or brought back to the Earth they should have inherited? Your job is to carefully evaluate the situation and prepare a report that will enable the judges in this case to reach a final decision. The latest information about scientific research on cloning has been made available to you, including some discussion about human cloning. But remember, this is a case about whether dinosaurs, *not* humans, should be cloned. Thank you for your participation in an historic case that will have global implications."

Here's the situation:

You and your colleagues must decide the fate of the dinosaurs before a world audience anxious to know your decision. Before you come to any conclusions, however, you need to understand more about the science of cloning; genetic engineering of ancient DNA; how to develop a dinosaur embryo and successfully raise it to adulthood; animal husbandry issues related to supporting a living, adult dinosaur under post-Mesozoic conditions; safety issues; ethical issues, etc.

To facilitate the court proceedings during class, each of you will serve either as a judge or represent a particular specialty on one of two teams: one team will argue the case in support of dinosaur cloning and the other will present arguments against dinosaur cloning.

Here's a review of how it will work and your responsibilities:

ROLE ASSIGNMENTS

High Court Judges (4-6) responsible for making final decision after hearing from two teams of specialists

Two Teams--**One For and the Other Against Dinosaur Cloning** each team, which is responsible for presenting specialists who do or do not recommend dinosaur

cloning, consists of:

6 investors 6 paleontologists 6 veterinarians **6** geneticists

6 ethicists 6 civilians

Date Posted: 07/24/00 mb. last revised: 02/07/03 nas

Individual Specialist and Team Responsibilities

Each team will comprise six specialist types; each specialist type will be represented by six people, including one person who will act as a "designated hitter" at the trial for that specialist group. The suggested readings and Internet sites will provide you with starter ideas for an approach to take and clues about how a person with your specialty might think. Be sure to read over carefully Parts I and II of the case and the discussion questions, as well as the material available via the web links, in the cloning e-folder, the library, and your textbooks. Each of you will be responsible for preparing (individually) a one- to two-page, double-spaced, typed report representing your position *using your own words and phrases* and citing any references that you used. It is okay to confer with your partners and teammates (not the judges), but do your write-up on your own. Be prepared with your partners to present your case (~ 5 minutes max!) to the Esteemed Judges using whatever creative, innovative, educational, but worthy, means you decide upon—but keep in mind that each *team* will have no more than 30 minutes to present its *entire* case. Also, please note that the "designated hitter" on each team will not give a one-minute presentation, but rather will be responsible for answering about a minute of questions from the judges, answering one question from the opposing team's "designated hitter," and posing one question to the opposing team's "designated hitter."

Judges' Responsibilities

The judges should approach their roles as highly educated, ultra objective, scrupulous decision-makers. We suggest that you do your research together, reading carefully Parts I and II of the case and the discussion questions, the judges' page, web links and the cloning e-folder, library resources, and your textbooks. Each judge is responsible for preparing (individually) a one- to two-page, double-spaced, typed statement (as above), indicating his/her position (for or against dinosaur cloning) *before* hearing the depositions in class. It is okay to confer with your fellow judges, but do your write-up on your own *using your own words and phrases* and citing any references that you used. Judges, of course, should not engage in conversations with members of either team before testimony is given.

Before the in-class court session, judges should designate someone as "Chief Judge" and should have predetermined how to call upon the specialists in an orderly, organized, and fair fashion, allowing each team no more than 30 minutes to plead its case. All judges should be prepared to pose questions to the "designated hitter" for each specialist group, and the Chief Judge should make sure that the "designated hitters" question each other after each specialist group has given its testimony. Judges will have a chance to confer with each other briefly after hearing all of the depositions and so will have the opportunity to change their positions in response to particularly persuasive testimony or argument. By the end of class, the judges will announce a majority opinion about whether to allow dinosaur cloning.

Should Dinosaurs Be "Cloned" from Ancient DNA?

Part II—"Background and Questions"

by Constance M. Soja, Department of Geology Deborah Huerta, Science Library Colgate University

As soon as the debriefing session is over, you and your teammates race over to the library. Your mind is already beginning to imagine what it would be like to see a living, breathing dinosaur. Awesome! How would this be possible? What would it take to resurrect dinosaurs from the past? Under what kinds of conditions would they be kept in captivity? What implications would this have for humans and for the dinosaurs? Would this be a good thing or a bad thing?

You begin looking through articles, books, newspaper clippings, and websites. You quickly realize that: (1) controversies persist about the existence of dino DNA during a period of rapid development of new cloning techniques and (2) bringing dinosaurs back to life is not as simple as it seems. First of all, there are questions about whether dinosaur DNA is preserved well enough to genetically engineer a dinosaur, and then there are concerns about whether "cloning" can be achieved technologically using *fossilized* DNA from animals extinct for millions of years. If cloning can produce a dinosaur embryo, what are the challenges in raising, feeding, and sustaining an adult dinosaur? Is anyone thinking about safety and bioethical issues? You use your notes to create an outline of the stages that would be involved in recreating a dinosaur. It looks something like this:

Phase I—The DNA Hunters Phase II—Hello, Dolly! Phase III—Bringing up Baby Phase IV—Dinosaur Husbandry I: Habits and Habitats Phase V—Dinosaur Husbandry II: Care and Condition Phase VI—Safety, Ethics & Animal Rights

You're still a little confused about how cloning takes place so you refer to the cloning diagrams in the cloning <u>e-folder</u> that help to explain general cloning procedures in mammals (in 1996, "Dolly" was the first animal, a sheep, cloned from the cells of an adult, living animal). The sketches reveal that three animals are generally involved in cloning one individual. An egg cell is donated by animal 1 but the cell's nucleus is removed (i.e., it's enucleated and so now is without its own genes). The nucleus from a body cell of animal 2 (the animal to be cloned) is transferred into the enucleated cell of animal 1, typically after jolts of electricity open the egg's pores and allow nuclear transfer to occur. Once nucleated, the genes from animal 2 direct the egg from animal 1 to grow and develop. After cell differentiation takes place, animal 1's egg cell, which now contains animal 2's DNA, is implanted into the uterus of animal 3, which gives birth to a genetically identical clone of animal 2.

Now you're getting somewhere. You compare the "recipe" above with the drawings in the cloning efolder. You look at the simple drawings in awe. It seems to make sense, but nobody knows how this would work exactly in dinosaurs. How, you wonder, could creatures from the Earth's distant past be cloned from ancient DNA? Presumably animal 1 would be an animal closely related to dinosaurs, such as a bird or crocodile, which would donate a living cell. Animal 2 would be the dinosaur donor of the fossilized DNA, which would have been purified, concentrated or amplified, and then replicated. Animal 3 would be the surrogate mother, once again either a bird or crocodile.

You are beginning to feel a bit uneasy. Let us assume that dinosaur "cloning" is possible using fossil DNA. What would it take to raise a juvenile dinosaur to adulthood and to maintain a captive breeding program for dinosaurs? What kinds of environments and foods would be right for the dinosaurs? To what kinds of Cenozoic diseases might dinosaurs be particularly susceptible? Could dinosaurs be used to save endangered species from extinction, or would they *cause* species extinctions? What ethical questions are being considered about the rights of humans and of non-human species?

You and your colleagues will have to make a decision that will affect an entire planet: whether to "clone" dinosaurs or leave them as a part of the Earth's past. What you need is information—enough to convince an entire team of scientists, investors, veterinarians, civilians, and judges of what would be involved.

Here's the situation (again):

As stated in Part I, you assignment is to present a full report to aid the judges in their decision. As indicated in detail earlier, each member of your team will represent one interest in this decision, such as scientist, investor, etc., and will need to be prepared to support your team's decision in court. Be prepared to consult as many sources as possible to justify your decision relying on theories of evolution, cloning, etc., and using actual or imagined examples based on scientifically accurate information. Here are some of the things you will need to know:

Discussion Questions

Phase I—The DNA Hunters

- What are the sources and approximate ages of ancient DNA in the geological record?
- What are the major problems associated with ancient DNA?
- How common or rare is dinosaur DNA in the ancient record?

Phase II—Hello, Dolly!

- Once fossil DNA is extracted, what steps would be required to synthesize enough DNA for cloning a dinosaur?
- Once enough DNA is acquired, what problems or challenges would be associated with developing a dinosaur embryo?

Phase III—Bringing up Baby

• What factors will play a role in successfully raising a dinosaur embryo (created from ancient DNA) to adulthood?

Phase IV—Dinosaur Husbandry I: Habits and Habitats

- Under what kinds of environmental conditions would adult dinosaurs thrive?
- How might environmental conditions vary by dinosaur species?

Phase V—Dinosaur Husbandry II: Care and Condition

- How would the dietary needs of herbivorous dinosaurs be satisfied with post-Mesozoic food sources?
- How would the dietary needs of carnivorous dinosaurs be satisfied with post-Mesozoic food sources?
- What precautions might be taken to safeguard dinosaurs from deadly viruses or diseases of the Cenozoic era?
- What kinds of care would dinosaurs require throughout their adult lives?
- What would be required to ensure that enough genetic diversity is maintained in the dinosaurs to avoid inbreeding and to prevent a disease or virus from wiping out the entire population of clones?

Phase VI—Safety, Ethics & Animal Rights

- What steps would need to be taken to protect the dinosaurs from humans and humans from the dinosaurs?
- What ethical and animal rights issues are raised by dinosaur cloning?
- In your opinion (no matter what your teammates think), do you think humans should try to recreate a living dinosaur—why or why not?

Thank you and good luck! The world is waiting to hear the court's final decision!

ROLE ASSIGNMENTS

- High Court Judges
- Investor for Cloning
- Investor against Cloning
- Paleontologist for Cloning
- Paleontologist against Cloning
- Geneticist for Cloning
- Geneticist against Cloning

- Ethicist for Cloning
- Ethicist against Cloning
- Veterinarian for Cloning
- Veterinarian against Cloning
- Civilian for Cloning
- Civilian against Cloning

Cloning E-Folder

- Memo dated September 1996
- Memo dated June 2019

Date:	September	1996
To:	Karelis	Securities, Inc.
From:	Roslin Inst	itute, Scotland
Re:	"Dolly"	

Here are the sketches you wanted. We're experimenting with another clone, but this is the basic technique. "Dolly" is doing fine; she's just about to be weaned from her surrogate mother.



Date: June 2019 To: Karelis Securities, Inc. From: Sayonara Institute, Japan Re: Woolly mammoth

Here are the sketches you wanted. We're sad the baby died, but we're working on another clone experimenting with this same basic technique. You can see where you would substitute dinosaur DNA for the mammoth DNA, etc. Best wishes for a successful project!



Official Memorandum

To: Esteemed Judges of the High TribunalFrom: Supreme Court Justice SojaRe: Dinosaur Cloning

I've been informed that you are going to be reviewing a case brought before your court on whether extinct forms of life (i.e., dinosaurs) should be cloned from ancient DNA. As this is the first such attempt at cloning dinosaurs, I would remind you that your decision carries great importance. I would also warn you that special interests are attempting to influence the case on both sides. It is your duty as judges to investigate the scientific and ethical aspects of the matter thoroughly so that you are sure of the evidence and arguments presented in court. I would urge you to review the various facts and theories of cloning, evolutionary principles, and dinosaurs. After you have completed your research, I recommend that you prepare questions to pose to both sides of the case before you. I have placed a large group of specialists on hand to advise you in your decision. Feel free to call on them to explain a fact or point out a discrepancy in the lower court's argument. I will be watching this case carefully, and expect YOU to reach the final decision. Good luck!

C.M. Soja, Supreme Court Justice

Investor for Cloning

To: InvestorFrom: Karelis Securities, Inc.Re: Investments

I don't think I need to remind you how important this decision is for the future of this company. Karelis Securities has been a leader in cloning research since 1990 when we underwrote some of the initial research that led to the cloning of the sheep "Dolly" in the U.K. in 1996. Let's not forget that in 1997, *The Lost World* (sequel to the movie *Jurassic Park*) brought in a staggering \$1 million per hour on opening week-end, and the *T. rex* dinosaur named "Sue" was auctioned for a record \$8.4 million. I think it's clear that we cannot afford to miss this opportunity to create the ultimate theme park--the REAL Jurassic Park! Everyone loves dinosaurs so here's our chance to make a fortune. Who cares if the dinosaurs are artificially reproduced from hybridization with birds? This will be the ultimate fantasy. Instead of trying to build a time machine for travel into the past, we can bring the past to the present. We've just located a game park in Louisiana for sale--the initial investment needed to refurbish the park is incidental when compared to the millions of dollars it would take to locate, study, and prepare a new site. All of the infrastructure, buildings, roads, pens, and landscaping are intact. As for the dinosaurs and human safety, let's remind ourselves that humans are used to dealing with far more complex and dangerous life forms that evolved long after dinosaurs went extinct--we'll just put in a series of strategic fences to keep the dinosaurs in and humans out. I hear the paleontologists may be arguing against cloning by citing Gould's ideas about chance as an important process in evolution, using that as a scare tactic to conjure up visions of dinosaurs evolving into bizarre, truly frightening life forms in the future. Make sure you mention ideas about the dinosaur-bird link as a counter-attack and all the ways that dinosaurs can benefit humankind. I have compiled a short list of resources you might find useful. I don't care what you argue so long as you convince those judges to allow dinosaur cloning.

I'll be waiting for your report,

C. B. Karelis, CEO Karelis Securities

Investor against Cloning

To: InvestorFrom: L&R FinancingRe: Dinosaur cloning

It would be an understatement to mention how much the judges' decision next week will affect our future--and yours. L&R Financing funded the building of DinoAdventures Theme Park in Wyoming several years ago. As you know, we've invested millions of dollars in the design of lifesize, robotic dinosaurs that will be guided by advanced computer technologies as they roam through a recreated Mesozoic landscape and engage in all sorts of real-live activities. Our engineers have been working with a team of geologists and biologists to make this the most compelling theme park of our age and one that can be duplicated at many other sites around the world. Should dinosaurs be brought back to life through cloning, we might as well close up shop now because robotic dinosaurs will never stand a chance against living, breathing dinosaurs in the public's eye. Your job is to convince the judges to veto dinosaur cloning. I have spoken to several specialists on evolution issues as well as animal rights activists and they all agree you could make a good case. You might try to argue that the planet will be unsafe and dangerous if dinosaurs are brought back to life--consider mentioning Phil Currie's latest discoveries or give them a first-hand look at one of our T. rex robotics! Point out that evolution cannot be controlled, not even by us. According to Gould, chance plays such an important role in evolution that using the Earth's past as a "future forecast" is foolish. Point out the enormous costs of producing, raising, and maintaining a captive breeding program of dinosaurs. I really don't care what you argue as long as you win this case. Dinosaurs must not be cloned! I've had my assistant type up a list of resources that might help you prepare your report. Remember, we're counting on you!

Cassandra Moulton III Managing Director, L&R Financing

Paleontologist for Cloning

Since you were a kid, you've been absolutely fascinated with dinosaurs. By age 5, you knew all the names of the saurischians and ornithischians and pointed out with glee every time someone mistakenly referred to Apatosaurus as Brontosaurus. (You must have seen the Jurassic Park movie a dozen times!). Your favorite dinosaur is Suchomimus, first described in 1998 by Paul Sereno based on his discoveries in northwest Africa. Since graduating from Fullam in 2003 (you got an A+ in Geo 115), you've become an expert on the detection and extraction of dinosaur DNA. The Ph.D. dissertation you completed a few years ago at a prestigious university on that very topic has placed you at the forefront of research on dinosaur cloning. Your research shows that there are more sites of potential DNA fossilized in dinosaur bones and blood proteins than most scientists realize, particularly bone beds like those in Montana where thousands of hadrosaurs were asphyxiated suddenly by ashfall during a volcanic eruption. The time is ripe for cloning dinosaurs-imagine the research possibilities! Here would be the chance to view evolution first hand and to observe the locomotory styles, physiology, and reproductive behaviors of dinosaurs that scientists have debated for centuries. Who could turn down the opportunity to glimpse into the Earth's past and to undo the damage caused by the asteroid 66 million years ago? If you think about it, we (humans) aren't even supposed to be here--many scientists believe that if an asteroid hadn't wiped out the dinosaurs they'd still be the ruling forms of life in terrestrial environments. The best plan is for you to support dinosaur cloning and try to win a research grant to study the clones. Fame, fortune, and a pet dino might surely follow!

Paleontologist against Cloning

Since you were a kid, you've been absolutely fascinated with dinosaurs. By age five, you knew all the names of the saurischians and ornithischians and pointed out with glee as often as possible that birds are really "feathered dinosaurs." (You must have read the Jurassic Park book a dozen times!). Your favorite dinosaur sites are in Argentina, where hundreds of sauropod eggs and some embryonic dinosaurs were discovered at the end of the last century. As much as you would love to see, hear, smell, and touch a living dinosaur, you realize that we are at a profound crossroads in the history of our planet if the judges allow cloning of extinct forms of life to proceed. Scientists are still debating if dinosaur DNA is fossilized intact or if it has survived in good enough shape to be used in cloning experiments. But it's only a matter of time before the technology will be developed that can replicate an entire genome from scraps of fossil DNA. It's no longer a question of technology but rather a question of what's right. The Mesozoic world of the dinosaurs no longer exists--many of the dinosaurs' cohort species, including multituberculate mammals, archaic crocodiles, Archaeopteryx, pterosaurs, as well as early species of cycads and even primitive angiosperms, went extinct millions of years ago. Even Pangea and the climatic conditions that prevailed on Earth during the "Age of Dinosaurs" no longer exist! It would be unfair to the dinosaurs to bring them back into a world that no longer has a place for them. Their time has come and gone. You've joined with a prestigious group of fellow scientists to urge the judges to ban dinosaur cloning.

Robin Forster, Columbia Ph.D., vertebrate paleontologist

and signatures of other Scientists Against Cloning (SAC): Xenia Krasnikova, Moscow Ph.D., conservation biologist Jim Starr, Harvard Ph.D., pathologist R.J. Browne, Stanford Ph.D., paleobotanist +100 other names

Geneticist for Cloning

New cloning techniques have made what was once believed impossible now possible. These new technologies allow for the extraction and purification of minute amounts of fossilized DNA, which is then activated, amplified, and replicated before being used for *in vitro* fertilization. Just last year a Japanese scientist cloned the first living mammoth by extracting the nucleus from the cell of a frozen (Pleistocene) mammoth, injecting it into an elephant's enucleated cell, and then implanting the viable embryo into an Asian elephant. Even though the baby mammoth only lived for a few days and was the clone of an animal that died out only a few thousand years ago, this represents a real step forward in cloning dinosaurs. What a fantastic opportunity--to be in on the ground level of a major scientific discovery that builds on the technologies already benefitting many humans worldwide, especially infertile couples who want to have children. There would be little to fear in bringing dinosaurs back from extinction--no "monsters" would evolve because genetic manipulations would carefully limit evolution. Also in your testimony next week it will be important to point out that cloning dinosaurs could help to develop new drugs to fight human diseases. The technological advances stemming from research on cloned dinosaurs could also potentially improve food production around the world with genetically engineered plants that could save the thousands of people who die each year from starvation. One of your colleagues has also proposed producing genetically engineered plants as benign alternatives to our dwindling fossil fuel resources. You plan to urge the judges to approve the cloning of dinosaurs because of the many potential benefits to society.

Geneticist against Cloning

New advances in genetic engineering are on the cusp of bringing extinct species back to life, but nobody explains how difficult, risky, and expensive this is--especially given the high percentage of failed attempts before a successful live birth is achieved. For example, some molecular biologists estimate that one out of every 1000 attempts will result in a fully formed, live dinosaur hatchling, and then there's the challenge of preventing high rates of infant mortality. Problems with verifying it's really dinosaur DNA and changes in DNA over the past 66 million years can't be ignored, either--you're concerned about the possibility of creating a "Frankenstein"-like hybrid that will be out of control and beyond the limits of nature and natural selection in the Darwinian sense. It still isn't clear how a dinosaur clone would be created--for example, would the clone be a bird-dinosaur or crocodile-dinosaur hybrid? Or would the "clone" be just a chicken walking around with some dinosaur DNA as part of its genetic make-up? After considerable expense, it's still unknown if the hybrid would be fertile or sterile and which dinosaur would be resurrected--T. rex perhaps? Which dinosaur-related species would provide the donor eggs, and which species would be the surrogate mothers? Now is the time for scientists and society to acknowledge that it is justifiable to use new techniques and scientific advances to solve today's problems but wrong to add new problems. You plan to explain to the court that dinosaur cloning is an improper use of scientific technology that shows little regard for the animals being brought back into a world unprepared to receive them. Is it really desirable to clone dinosaurs with the express purpose of making them into living drug factories for pharmaceutical companies? If dinosaurs are cloned, what's next--cloned trilobites? Cloned ichthyosaurs? You even heard mention of a report that someone wants to search for frozen sperm in the mummified Ice Man, Otzi, and clone him 5000 years after his death in the Italian Alps! It was a mistake to attempt the cloning of the mammoth last year, and cloning even older forms of life would only create more problems. You hope to convince the judges that we have absolutely no right to play God!

Ethicist for Cloning

What a fantastic opportunity!--to be in on the ground level of a major scientific discovery that builds on the technologies already benefiting many humans worldwide, especially infertile couples who want to have children. As the geneticists have pointed out, there would be little to fear in bringing dinosaurs back from extinction--no "monsters" would evolve because genetic manipulations would carefully limit evolution. In your testimony next week, it will be important to research all of the various ways that cloning dinosaurs could be beneficial to humans. Could the cloned dinos help to develop new drugs to fight human diseases or be used for organ transplants, tissue regeneration in burn victims, or bone grafts? Could technological advances stemming from research on cloned dinosaurs also potentially improve food production around the world with genetically engineered plants that could save the thousands of people who die each year from starvation? Would advances in cloning research enable us to produce genetically engineered plants as benign alternatives to our dwindling fossil fuel resources? Forget the arguments that this goes against nature--the fact of the matter is that in reality we already select which natural processes to manipulate for the benefit of humankind. You'll provide specific examples to the judges so that they fully appreciate the extent to which humans have been manipulating nature since the advent of agriculture 10,000 years ago and more recently with the accelerated development of bioengineered plants, medicines, and selectively bred livestock. It's important to acknowledge that scientific and technological breakthroughs aren't achieved without some risks. If cloning dinosaurs could yield tremendous insights into how and why certain manipulations of cellular material are successful, as an ethicist you need to thoughtfully assess if the benefits outweigh the risks. The judges may also wish to have clear assurances that the dinosaurs will be managed under carefully monitored, humane conditions. It's time to quit demonizing science--you plan to urge the judges to approve the cloning of dinosaurs because of the many potential benefits to society.

Ethicist against Cloning

You are gravely concerned that we are at a profound crossroads in the history of our planet if the judges allow cloning of extinct forms of life to proceed. Your geneticist colleagues assure you that it's only a matter of time before the technology will be developed that can replicate an entire genome from scraps of fossil DNA. It's no longer a question of technology but rather a question of what's right. This will be your opportunity to ask some probing questions--should scientists and society acknowledge that it is justifiable to use new techniques and scientific advances to solve today's problems but wrong to introduce new hazards through uncontrolled ecological experiments? You'll need to explain to the court why dinosaur cloning is an improper use of scientific technology, which from an ethical standpoint shows little regard for the animals being brought back into the modern world. Is it relevant that the Mesozoic world of the dinosaurs no longer exists--for that reason alone is it unfair to resurrect the dinosaurs? Has their time really come and gone? Is it really desirable to clone dinosaurs with the express purpose of making them into living drug factories for pharmaceutical companies? If dinosaurs are cloned, what's next--cloned trilobites? Cloned ichthyosaurs? You even heard mention of a report that someone wants to search for frozen sperm in the mummified Ice Man, Ötzi, and clone him 5000 years after his death in the Italian Alps! You'll have to convince the judges that it was a mistake to attempt the cloning of the mammoth last year and that cloning even older forms of life would only create more problems. You'll need to investigate if a rush for profits and slow action on the part of governments to establish regulations for safety oversight will promote unethical behaviors, including mistreatment of these complex, intelligent, social animals and possible environmental damage caused by doctored genes spreading out of control. You've joined with a prestigious group of fellow scientists to urge the judges to ban dinosaur cloning. From an ethical standpoint there's no good basis or rational reason for cloning dinosaurs--we have absolutely no right to play God!

Robin Forster, Columbia PhD, vertebrate paleontologist

and signatures of other Scientists Against Cloning (SAC): Xenia Krasnikova, Moscow PhD, conservation biologist Jim Starr, Harvard PhD, pathologist R.J. Browne, Stanford PhD, paleobotanist +100 other names

Veterinarian for Cloning

As the chief veterinarian at game parks in western North America, Africa, and Australia, you oversaw the care and feeding of reptiles, including the Komodo "dragon," as well as large herds of mammals in nature preserves in Kenya. The chance to manage the first group of cloned dinosaurs is a job too exciting to pass up. You plan to tell the judges that years of experience in animal husbandry in wild and domesticated stock lead you to believe that the management of dinosaurs is not an insurmountable problem. You'll note that during the Mesozoic, dinosaurs had co-evolved with a diversity of plants, including early angiosperms. Dinosaurs demonstrated over millions of years a considerable adaptability to new food sources throughout the Mesozoic. As ecologic generalists, you predict that they will adjust well to the wide assortment of grains and grasses that modern mammals depend on to fuel their active lives. You've already been involved in an experimental program in Tanzania where vaccinations of lions and cheetahs successfully boosted their immune systems and prevented the further spread of deadly viruses, which have culled many populations of African felids. Similar techniques could be applied to dinosaurs so that their Mesozoic immune systems would be able to tolerate Cenozoic diseases. Daily maintenance and care of dinosaurs would ensure their survival under carefully monitored conditions by well-trained staff. Furthermore, as a pathologist interested in the origins of diseases that still plague humankind, you see a real benefit in being able to investigate the factors associated with arthritis and syphilis, diseases that also affected dinosaurs. Finally, you will urge the judges to allow dinosaur cloning by pointing out that cloned dinosaurs could also benefit humans by serving as a source for bone grafts and possibly even organ and tissue transplants.

Veterinarian against Cloning

As an experienced pathologist who specializes in large-bodied animals, you have considerable discomfort about the monumental efforts. expense, and uncertainty involved in the care, maintenance, and management of cloned dinosaurs. Anyone who knows anything about modern ecosystems appreciates that boundaries are diffuse and that ecological "osmosis" takes place across invisible or non-existent borders. In other words, captive animals are not completely protected from outside influences and vice versa. Dinosaurs would probably need to be fed with genetically altered plants from which the deadliest toxins have been removed. Angiosperms have experienced enormous evolution in the last 60 million years, and dinosaurs would not have adaptations to aid in the digestion of plants they never encountered in the Mesozoic. Didn't somebody once propose that dinosaurs became extinct after suffering severe digestive disorders shortly after the evolution of the first angiosperms? Modern viruses could wreak havoc on the immune systems of the dinosaurs as well; even new experiments to boost the immune systems of endangered species have not been able to save all members afflicted with a deadly virus. You're also worried that Mesozoic diseases that died out with the dinosaurs could be reintroduced into the modern world. Cloning dinosaurs could possibly recreate a dangerous pathogen and contaminate other animals in nearby habitats. Mosquitoes and other insects are known vectors that transfer diseases among species. You're fearful that many birds and crocodiles, already threatened with extinction in many parts of the world, might suffer even greater losses as evolutionary relatives of the dinosaurs that are susceptible to the same diseases. Finally, you plan to end your testimony with an image that the judges will be unable to forget of a five-ton Triceratops with meter-long horns charging towards a bus filled with tourists... Dinosaur cloning is better left alone!

Civilian for Cloning

What's the big deal? Nature's already full of clones--your doctor once told you that you and your twin brother are a form of cloning and there's nothing particularly bizarre or frightening about the two of you! Doesn't it come down to the Nature vs. Nurture debate--that individuals are shaped both by their genetic heritage and by the environment? You don't really understand all the stuff about how a clone is actually developed, but if the scientists are telling us that dinosaurs can be genetically engineered with careful manipulations of their DNA and that they will exist under controlled environmental conditions, what's the big scare? What could be cooler than visiting an outdoor theme park and watching dinosaurs do their thing. They've got to be the biggest, baddest beasts that ever walked the Earth. Science fiction just doesn't do it anymore; this will be the REAL thing. Everyone seems to be worried about science unleashing uncontrollable, violent forces into society, but humans are used to dealing with all kinds of violence, like inner-city gangs, earthquakes, killer viruses, etc. Also haven't the newspapers been reporting all the benefits we derive from genetic engineering, like medicines, better foods, organ transplant research, etc.? Scientific research on cloning isn't necessarily a bad thing, we just have to be careful what kind of cloning is done. Dinosaurs obviously weren't the brightest critters, otherwise they wouldn't have gone extinct as evolutionary failures, right? So here's a second chance for them to reinhabit planet Earth while providing some enjoyment and benefit to humankind. Sounds like a pretty fair deal!

Civilian against Cloning

What's the big deal? Who are we trying to kid? Here we are talking about bringing back the largest animals that ever walked the planet, including T. rex. Doesn't planet Earth already have enough problems--human overpopulation, famine, disease, poverty, pollution, species extinctions, you name it. We can't even feed all the starving people in the world, never mind a bunch of cloned dinosaurs. What kind of world will we be leaving our children if in addition to everything else they have to deal with extinct forms of life brought back from the past? Didn't Newsweek say that 60 million years separates the dinosaur age from the human age? Think of all the changes that must have taken place in that amount of time. It doesn't seem like a good idea to fool around with nature on that scale. So they tell us the dinosaurs would be caged in zoos and big animal parks. What about the reports from Florida last year of lions and tigers escaping from that zoo? It's only a matter of time before some smart dinosaur finds a way to sneak out of the zoo, and then imagine what would happen. There must be some mad scientists somewhere out to control the world. You plan to say loudly and clearly in court next week that under no circumstances should dinosaur cloning be allowed!

Should Dinosaurs Be "Cloned" From Ancient DNA?

by

Constance M. Soja and Deborah Huerta

Leads and Sources

Magazine and Journal Articles:

"Cloning the Woolly Mammoth." Richard Stone. *Discover*, vol. 20, April 1999, p. 56-63.

"Ancient DNA." Svante Pääbo. *Scientific American*, vol. 269, November 1993, p. 86-92.

"Ancient DNA." George Poinar, Jr. *American Scientist*, vol. 87, September-October 1999, p. 446-457.

"Dino DNA: The Hunt and the Hype." Virginia Morell. *Science*, vol. 261, 9 July 1993, p. 160-162.

"The Use of Ancient DNA in Paleontological Studies." Lori M. and Zvi Kelman. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, vol. 19, March 1999, p. 8-20.

"The Real Jurassic Park." Mary Schweitzer and Tracy Staedter. *Earth*, vol. 6, June 1997, p. 54-57.

"Will the Dinosaurs Rise Again?" Mary H. Schweitzer and Raul J. Cano. In *DinoFest* (edited by Gary D. Rosenberg and Donald L. Wolberg), 1994, p. 309-326.

"DNA Sequence from Cretaceous Period Bone Fragments." Scott R. Woodward et al. *Science*, vol. 266, 18 November 1994, p. 1229-1232.

"Detecting Dinosaur DNA." [various authors.] *Science*, vol. 268, 26 May 1995, p. 1191-1194.

"Dino Hunter." Josh Fischman. Discover, vol 19, May 1999, p. 72-78.

"Is Science Dangerous?" Lewis Wolpert. *Nature*, vol. 398, 25 March 1999, p. 281-282.

Cloning E-Folder:

Memo dated September 1996 Memo dated June 2019

Internet Sites:

Recreating Dinosaurs: Fact or Fiction?

http://www.nhm.ac.uk/sc/amber http://www.gplatt.demon.co.uk/amberdna.htm http://unmuseum.mus.pa.us/dnadino.htm http://www.sciam.com/askexpert/biology/biology1.html http://http://www.newscientist.com/nsplus/insight/rexfiles/backfrom.html http://www.dinosauria.com http://dinosaurs.eb.com/dinosaurs/index2.html

Cloning Info

http://www.sciam.com/explorations/030397clone/030397beards.html http://www.sciam.com/1998/1298issue/1298wilmut.html http://www.newscientist.com/nsplus/insight/clone/clonelinks.html http://library.thinkquest.org/24355/data/debatenav.html http://powayusd.sdcoe.k12.ca.us/dolly/resources.htm http://www.geocities.com/Vienna/1673/

Books:

The Science of <u>Jurassic Park</u> and <u>The Lost World</u> or, How to Build a <i>Dinosaur. Rob DeSalle and David Lindley. 1997. BasicBooks.

The Quest for Life in Amber. George O. Poinar. 1994. Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

The Second Creation: Dolly and the Age of Biological Control. Ian Wilmut, Keith Campbell, and Colin Tudge. 2000. Farrar Straus & Giroux.

Clone: The Road to Dolly and the Path Ahead. Gina Kolata. 1998. W. Morrow & Co.

Remaking Eden: Cloning and Beyond in a Brave New World. Lee Silver. 1997. Avon Books.

Biology. N.A. Campbell. 1987. Benjamin-Cummings Publishing Co.