

# NSTA Nomination Evaluation

## Criteria

Applicant's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

City/State: \_\_\_\_\_

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Superior	Weight	Score
<u>Biographical Data:</u>							
Quality of employment level, and degrees received (length, highest degree held, science content degree)	1	2	3	4	5	X 2	
NSTA Activity Involvement (present, past, committees, publications, years)	1	2	3	4	5	X 2	
State Chapter and Associated Groups Activities (present, past involvement)	1	2	3	4	5	X 2	
Additional Activity Involvement (professional experience, state & local science involvement, other associations, professional development activities including science content, etc...)	1	2	3	4	5	X 2	
<u>Position Statement:</u>							
Philosophy about science teaching	1	2	3	4	5	X 2	
Role & Responsibility of NSTA	1	2	3	4	5	X 2	
Overall strength of application	1	2	3	4	5	X 3	
Qualifications are a good match for the position	1	2	3	4	5	X1	
<u>Letters of Recommendation</u> (quality/strength of individual letters) i.e. Do they add value to the application packet? Personal and professional evidence? Do they reflect first hand knowledge of the applicant?							YES or NO
<b>If this is an application for President-elect, are they presidential? Meaning, does this member seem presidential from the data enclosed, coupled with what your knowledge is of this member?</b> <b><u>Presidential:</u></b>							YES or NO
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shows wisdom and respect; good judgment under stress with regard to NSTA issues.</li> <li>• Can represent the best interests of NSTA and science education externally (strong science content background, interviewing, addressing public policy issues and testimonies on behalf of NSTA.)</li> <li>• Presents presidential demeanor</li> <li>• Science content degree</li> </ul>							

<b>Grand Total</b>	
--------------------	--