Writing skills are high on the list of real-world requirements for all students—including science students. Every scientific discipline needs professionals who can ably communicate in writing. Scientists must be able to describe their proposed studies for funding considerations, track their observations and results in their own notes, describe their experimental protocols for their peers to replicate, and synthesize their work to the wider world community. Yet setting aside time to develop these important skills in an already jam-packed science curriculum is often difficult. And even when teachers can carve out such moments, what do science writing lessons look like?

This valuable compendium, which collects articles originally published in the award-winning journals Science and Children and Science Scope, highlights the importance of science writing and attempts to help elementary and middle school teachers of science tackle the topic with confidence and ease. Outlining both the process and the methods for teaching science writing, articles cover lab reports, science journals, field guides, interactive science notebooks, blogs, and even creative nonfiction and environmental poetry. Practical—and time-efficient—assessment ideas are also covered.

Volume editor Jodi Wheeler-Toppen, herself well-versed in the art of combining writing and science instruction, sums up the case for teaching science writing best: “There are many reasons to have our students write, but the one that is most powerful for me is simple: Writing helps students learn.”
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Introduction
As I write this introduction, I am struck by how much this task resembles what we want our students to accomplish when we ask them to write in science class. I sit surrounded by piles of *Science Scope*, *Science and Children*, and research journal articles, as well as pages of scrawled, handwritten notes. Already I have collected, sorted, organized, and reorganized my ideas. As I type them, I will clarify them even further. In short, I am learning as I write.

Why Writing?
There are many reasons to have our students write, but the one that is most powerful for me is simple: Writing helps students learn. While writing, students manipulate and organize their ideas (Langer and Applebee 1987). As they try to explain the concepts they are learning, they may discover gaps in their knowledge (Glynn and Muth 1994). When they use analogies to describe how something new is like something they already know, they link new knowledge to prior knowledge, strengthening both (Rivard 1994). In translating between everyday language and scientific language, they clarify their ideas (Prain 2006). In my classes, I have students write because writing can be a powerful tool for learning.

There are other good reasons to have students put pen to paper (or fingers to keyboard). First, writing is an essential part of the practice of science (Norris and Phillips 2003). Scientists record their findings and interpretations, allowing other scientists to examine their work. This record allows scientists to piece together small ideas, eventually building large theories. Scientists themselves use writing to organize their thoughts and find meaning in their work, reflecting on their own and in communication with peers.

Students' written work also provides a window to their thoughts. Not sure if your students really “get” the molecular nature of matter? Have them write a book that explains the concept to young children (see “Students as Authors,” p. 159). You’ll spot misconceptions you would never pick up during class discussion. Assessing writing doesn’t have to be time consuming. I often have students respond to a question in writing, then quickly scan the answers and sort them into piles based on levels of understanding. This approach lets me know what points need to be addressed and which, if any, students need one-on-one clarification. However, beware of mistaking students’ use of science terminology for understanding science material. As Abell points out in “On Writing in Science” (p. 1) students are skilled at throwing around science words to hide their confusion.

Building Basic Skills
You don’t have to be trained as an English teacher to integrate writing into your curriculum. However, you may need to practice with students the aspects of their writing that are most important in a science classroom. They may need help learning to put ideas into their own words instead of plagiarizing, or they may require instruction on being specific enough for their readers to understand what they’ve written. Such skills help students think more deeply
about science and enable them to communicate clearly—worthwhile ways to spend class
time. Tools for these tasks and more can be found in the second section of this book, “Building
Basic Skills.”

Writing With English Language Learners

Writing can be an especially important part of the science curriculum for English language
learners. Writing not only helps them process the science they are learning, but also gives
them needed practice using their new language. Science teachers must ease English language
learners into the writing process by integrating it with verbal discussions and drawings to
allow ELL students to fully express their thoughts. See the section “Writing With English
Language Learners” for more guidance.

The “Write” Way?

What kind of writing should you have your students do? The short answer is that it depends
on your goals for the activity. Some teachers want students to replicate reports similar to those
found in scientific journals. To generate such lab reports, students need explicit guidance
regarding what is expected and how well they are moving toward proficiency (see “Lab
Report Blues,” p. 111). Learning to write traditional lab reports introduces students to the
structure of the formal literature of science. However, the actual practice of science involves
many genres of writing, including e-mails, lab notebooks, presentation or seminar notes, and
personal writing that helps scientists understand their own research (Yore, Hand, and Prain
2002). In addition, some worry that using formal lab reports as the primary form of writing in
science class obscures the underlying thinking involved in developing scientific ideas (Wallace,
Hand, and Prain 2004). Resources for both traditional and nontraditional lab reports can be
found in the section “Writing in and About Lab Work.”

If your goal is to stimulate learning for your students, there are several things to
consider (Langer and Applebee 1987). First, think about whether you want students to work
on organizing a large breadth of knowledge or if you want them to gain an in-depth view
of a smaller subject. They are most likely to learn the ideas directly used in their writing.
Second, the more information is manipulated, the better it is understood and remembered.
Assignments that help students make new connections include tasks that ask them to reword
a text, “translate” an idea into another way of writing, and elaborate and make comparisons
(Boscolo and Mason 2001). Finally, keep in mind that if students write about familiar content
and they already understand the relationships between the ideas, writing is unlikely to produce
new learning.

There are many types of writing that can meet these goals. In part 2 of this book,
“Classroom-Tested Lessons,” you’ll find examples of different genres of writing that work
well in classrooms as well as content-specific activities that you can use right away. You’ll
notice that these activities require the kinds of thinking described above.

A word on the value of giving students a chance to write for authentic audiences:
Preparing a text for a real person or group, rather than just pretending to do so, is a powerful motivator (Wallace, Hand, and Prain 2004). Students can write for younger children at their school, for visitors to a museum or nature center, for a local newspaper or PTA newsletter, or even for their classmates. For a richer learning experience, students can receive feedback from that targeted group and revise accordingly. Several activities in this book provide suggestions for activities that involve authentic audiences.

Journals and Logs

Many teachers like to have their students collect their thinking and writing in learning logs or journals. When journals are used well, they become an essential part of science class, as integral as the teacher or textbook. Students value their journals because they hold a personal record of learning and ideas. Journals may be primarily focused on investigations, or they may involve reflection on all sources of learning in the science classroom. There are a variety of ways to organize and assess science journals and logs; several systems are described in “Approaches to Science Journals and Logs.”

The goal of this book is to provide practical guidance for integrating writing into your classroom. Some articles describe general techniques you can use in any class. Others outline writing activities tailored to a specific topic. Even if you don’t teach these topics, you can use such articles as springboards for additional ideas. Whatever science you teach, you’ll find strategies and lessons to get you started.
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Chapter 4

14 Writing Strategies

By Thomas Turner and Amy Broemmel

In 1905, a young scientist named Albert Einstein published a three-page paper presenting his theory of relativity. That brief paper was a major step in revolutionizing how physicists throughout the world thought, and it changed the way the world in general thought about science (Penrose 2005). That a relatively small piece of writing could be so important certainly illustrates the significance of writing to science. Good scientists record what they do—their results, procedures and operations, observations, and hypotheses, as well as their problems and questions.

Scientists need to develop their writing skills for a number of reasons:

- Writing down their ideas and describing what they do and find gives scientists, and those who read and depend on their work, a more accurate record from which to attempt to replicate results.
- Written accounts of what scientists observe that are recorded at the time of their observations help scientists remember more accurately and completely.
- Written summaries of scientific work allow scientists to synthesize bodies of work and look at them holistically so that they or other scientists can extend and develop ideas further.
- Written notes about their work allow scientists to reflect on and mentally process what they have observed.
- Written presentations of their work allow scientists to share and publicize their findings, get credit for their work, and, as a result, claim the benefits of their successes.
- Written descriptions of planned work enable scientists to obtain funding to continue their often-expensive work.
- Written summaries of their ideas allow scientists to share the importance of their work with nonscientists.
Why We Need to Teach Writing in Science Classes

Any science teacher who wants his or her students to be engaged in real science is going to engage them in real science writing. Students do not intuitively know how to do such writing, and instruction in scientific writing is not likely to occur in other school subjects. This writing instruction can serve two purposes: It can increase science understanding and engage students in activities that are useful in the assessment process in science itself. Montgomery (2005, p. 28) points out that student writing provides the teacher with “a tangible demonstration of learning and gives students the opportunity to connect their personal experiences to the content.” Montgomery goes on to say that well-crafted, thoughtfully planned writing assignments require the student to do a “deep analysis of subject material.”

Well-designed science writing assignments essentially have three critical attributes:

1. They provide authentic purposes for writing.
2. They motivate students to want to write and “do” science.
3. They help students plan and structure both their writing and their science activities.

These attributes are inextricably and symbiotically related. They combine to make the writing assignment comprehensible, authentically important, and feasible. Matsumura and his colleagues (2002) found that the cognitive challenge of the writing assignment had a significant effect on the quality of students’ final drafts. That is, when students felt that assignments were cognitively challenging and satisfying to complete, they worked more effectively in producing a finished writing product. Writing experiences should help students feel good about their own writing.

Writing in science should begin with clear, imaginative writing purposes and stimuli that are then scaffolded in such a way that students are able to find an organizational structure for their writing. Writing fluency is often enhanced and supported by experiences like brainstorming or free writing.

Writing Assignments That Work in Science Classes

Writing in The American Scientist, Gopen and Swan (1990, p. 550) assert, “The fundamental purpose of scientific discourse is not the mere presentation of information and thought, but rather its actual communication.” Of course, much of the public and many scientists would question this idea because they often think that scientific concepts, data, and analysis are extremely complex, difficult, and abstract. However, like Gopen and Swan, we would argue that what matters most in scientific writing is that a majority of the reading audience accurately perceives what the science writer has in mind, and that when science writing improves, it is a sign that the thinking is better. In the interest of promoting such thinking, we would like to offer 14 examples of different kinds of writing assignments that can provide legitimate, purposeful writing practice while promoting solid science learning and review.
1. Writing Hypothetical Letters
Often scientists share their observations and questions, as well as their differences of opinion, by letter or, in today’s world, by blogs and e-mail messages. A very simple, yet effective example of a scientific exchange can be seen in the children’s book, Dear Mr. Blueberry, by Simon James. In this book, James tells a story through an exchange of letters between a little girl named Emily and her teacher, Mr. Blueberry. Read the book aloud and talk about how Emily seeks help, information, and even opinion but is strongly true to her own observations. Students can work collaboratively to create their own hypothetical exchange of letters between themselves and a scientist or teacher. An important lesson of this poignantly sweet book is that a person should believe in the power of evidence even when it contradicts authority. A second lesson is that it is possible to contradict without being disrespectful to authority. In their letters, students can share observations about some theme or topic. If possible, the return letters by the “authorities” or “scientists” can come from older children or parents with science backgrounds. This activity can also be accomplished electronically in collaboration with university students studying to be science teachers.

2. Process Steps Analysis
After observing and/or taking part in a demonstration of a scientific process, the class could discuss what they saw. After talking the observations through, they can analyze and document the sequential steps that they would need to completely replicate the demonstration. In some cases, where it is safe and feasible, students might even have the opportunity to recreate the demonstration following their own written steps.

3. Identifying Critical Attributes
Small groups of students are asked to look at something. This can be an object of any kind or even a plant or an animal. Each group has a different object. They are given the opportunity to make a thorough examination, and identify its critical attributes. Critical attributes are those observable qualities that make the object, plant, or animal unique, allowing it to be distinguished from all others. The groups can then compile a list of what they believe to be the critical attributes of what they have seen. The lists are shared with the whole class, and students attempt to match the correct item with the critical attribute list. If accurate matching is not possible, students are encouraged to revisit and revise their lists.

4. Collaborative Writing of Scientific Stories
The teacher begins by reading (or having the students read) a science-related trade book. Fiction books, such as How Groundhog’s Garden Grew (Cherry 2003), and nonfiction books, such as One Tiny Turtle (Davies 2001), can be used effectively for this activity. After students have become familiar with the story, the teacher starts a discussion focusing on the scientific content or process described in the book. Once the teacher is satisfied that students understand the science of the book, he or she has the class sit in a circle on the floor. Three clipboards with paper are given to students positioned at equal intervals around the circle. Each student holding a clipboard is asked to think about the science described in the book and then write one sentence that describes the first event in the book. They then pass the clipboards to the right. Students are instructed that when they receive a clipboard, they need to read what
has been written up to that point on the paper and then write an additional sentence describing the next event in the scientific process described in the story. Each paper will, in the end, contain a complete retelling of the story in the sequence it occurred. (Three papers are used to provide a means of keeping students actively engaged and to document student understanding of various parts of the content and process.)

5. Chain of Evidence
Because most students have watched many television shows dealing with forensic evidence in criminal investigations, those observation experiences can be used as the basis for writing activities. First the teacher identifies a crime for the team to investigate. Appropriate possibilities include robberies, kidnappings, acts of vandalism, or simple crimes that happen around the school every day. (Avoid scenarios involving violent or graphic crimes.) Begin with a brainstorming session. Have the class create a detailed summary of the chain of evidence leading to the arrest and trial of a suspect in their invented crime. Encourage them to use rich details with leading questions, such as the following: What kind of evidence are we looking for? Where are we likely to find evidence? How do we distinguish evidence related to the crime from what we would normally expect within the crime scene? What are some different ways of reconstructing the crime based on the evidence? What are some possibilities indicated by the evidence?

As an alternative to providing students with only the hypothetical crime, the teacher can also provide a list of “suspects” with a brief introduction to each. Students might then choose a “guilty” suspect and create a well-reasoned written explanation of fictional clues and evidence that could lead to the suspect’s arrest. Students then have to learn the difference between being reasonably sure that someone is guilty and having sufficient evidence to bring them to trial, then having enough evidence to convict. Students can assume the roles of judge and jury in response to one another’s assembly of evidence, ultimately deciding if the written chain of evidence is sufficient to lead to a trial and subsequent conviction.

6. Accident Report
In this activity, the teacher creates an accident scene by either using photos or actually staging an accident. Examples of cases might include a lunchroom mishap such as spilled trays; a playground incident such as a fall from a piece of equipment, someone being hit by a ball, or a collision between two running students; or a classroom situation such as stacks of papers falling on the floor and getting mixed together. After examining the accident scene and gathering evidence, the investigators are asked to write reports based on their observations. In very small groups, students then read one another’s reports, noting inconsistencies or missing details.

7. Label Analysis
The teacher first organizes students into groups and then provides each group with an empty package or label for some product. The products can be foods, medicines, household cleaners, or anything else with a label that lists the ingredients. Each group then writes a description of what they know about the product based on the list of ingredients—in other words, what the contents list tells you and what it doesn’t tell you. For example, if something advertised as
a juice product has little or no actual fruit juice in it, what does that mean? What does the label tell you about nutrition? What are the risks and benefits of using the product?

8. Technical Directions
The teacher begins by giving students toys or models that require some assembly. Students are then asked to take the role of the marketing staff at the product’s manufacturing company. Students must first practice assembling the toy or model, carefully noting the quickest, most efficient steps for assembly. Then they are responsible for writing the directions that will be included on the package. Finally, students attempt to assemble other groups’ toys or models using the new directions.

9. Scientific Directions
The teacher organizes the class into small groups and assigns each group a familiar location within a short distance from the school. Each group then discusses the best route to the assigned place and writes directions for getting there using landmarks based on scientific observations taken along the route. For example, the directions could include descriptions of plants, geological formations, or environmental cues. As a follow-up, have students see if they can navigate to a spot using others’ directions.

10. Scientific Reporting
After a discussion of the essentials of accurately reporting scientific observations, students are organized into groups. Each group is given a video recording of a scientific experiment and asked to create a detailed list of observations that someone could use to recreate the experiment. The group is allowed to view the video as many times as they like to ensure that their observation list is accurate and complete. (See Resources for recommended video collections.)

11. Proposal Writing
The basic function of a proposal is to describe and pitch to others ideas for projects, papers, and research studies. Proposal writing is an essential activity for many scientists and the skills needed to write proposals should be developed as early as possible. Instead of simply assigning projects and research reports, teachers can provide general parameters for the intended assignment (e.g., research related to rock formation or a project depicting a food chain). Proposal writing activities can begin with a simple brainstorming session for project ideas. The fundamental question is, What do we want to do? After helping generate a list of ideas, the teacher can then lead students through the process of selecting and refining a single idea from the list. The next step is to create a proposal outline. The teacher may choose to have a set of specifications or even provide a simple outline such as the following:

- Title (A proposal…)
- Abstract or summary
- An introduction giving background and explaining the situation
- A statement of the project problem to be solved
- Some suggestion or suggestions about solutions to the problem
■ Some explanation of how you will solve the problem
■ An outline describing the proposed project outcome
■ Step-by-step description of your research methods
■ Conclusions

After the outline is created, assign a different group to write a draft for each part. Finally, piece together the proposal, editing each part so that it is consistent with the rest. The combined class effort can then serve as a model for small groups or individual students to develop their own proposals.

12. Pourquois Story Writing
Pourquois stories are fictional explanations of natural phenomena. They are usually based on definitive descriptions of the phenomena themselves. One example is “How the Elephant Got His Long Trunk.” A series of logical plot actions are described, connecting the main characters in the story to the creation of the phenomenon. Provide students with a list of natural phenomena and have them create their own pourquois stories for one of these. Stress the importance of including scientific facts in explanations. Examples of appropriate subjects include why magnets attract, why we have tornadoes, why snakes shed their skin, why hens cackle and roosters crow, why owls hoot, how squirrels got their bushy tails, and why volcanoes erupt.

13. Preparing Descriptive Research Through Web Quests
Web quests are designed to be structured inquiry activities in which information is drawn from the internet. Web quests focus the learners’ time on using information rather than looking for it and emphasize thinking at the levels of analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. Essentially, students are directed to a sequenced series of specific websites to solve a structured inquiry problem. A number of websites provide examples of Web quests (see Internet Resources). An example of a teacher-created web quest might ask students to determine which simple machines would be most effective in performing a particular multistep task. The web quest would be designed to lead students to a series of websites that present verbal and/or pictorial information about simple machines. Students would use the information to develop a written solution to the problem. Teachers can also train students to develop their own web quests as an alternative means of demonstrating understanding of particular scientific content or processes.

14. News Clip Observations
The teacher shows a short news film clip without sound. The clip may show a natural disaster, the effect of weather, destruction brought about by human effort, or another science-related concept. Students then write descriptions of the event based on their observations. After students have completed their descriptions, replay the film clip with sound and ask students to compare the accompanying news commentary to what they wrote.
Final Note
A science class is not complete unless it helps students learn to think like scientists, and writing is an essential part of such thinking. The 14 writing experiences described here for integrating meaningful, interesting writing into science are not intended to be followed to the letter. Rather, they are all adaptable ideas. Neither are they intended to replace traditional science instruction. However, if we want our students to think like scientists, then it is only logical that we should ask them to observe, document, and write like scientists, as well. We believe that these and other thoughtfully structured writing activities can be integrated into science classrooms in a way that addresses curriculum, provides alternative, authentic means of assessing student understanding, and motivates students to become actively involved in the learning process.
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journals, 142–143, 244–245
notebooks, 235–236
P.O.E.T.R.Y. tool, 278
prior knowledge, 265–266
science journals, 244–245
science notebooks, 235–236
Attitudes, scientific, 222
Background knowledge, assessing, science notebooks for, 268
Biography, 219–225
Biological engineering, 131
Blogs, 253–258
assessment, 258
student permission slip, 255
with student postings, 256
for teachers, 255
Books
as assessment tools, 162–164
brainstorming, 173
Brainstorming, 92, 173
Chain of evidence, 20
Chapter from student-authored book, sample, 6
Chart, data, sample, 155
Chemical engineering, 131
Children’s Literacy and Science Project, 271
Civil engineering, 131
CLASP. See Children’s Literacy and Science Project
Class discussion, 61
Class inquiry questions, 92
Class question, constructing, 92
Collaborative writing, 19–20
Communication
concepts regarding, 82–83
as science inquiry skill, 14
Community involvement, forensic science, 190–191
Concepts, communication of, 202
Conducting experiments, 95–96
Connecting knowledge, 32
Consequences, consideration of, 222
Content accuracy, 53
CORE Model of Instruction, 31–35
Creative writing, 211–214
Critical attributes, identifying, 19
Critical thinking, 47–48
Current events science journal, 124
Current science, 123–125
Curricula connections, 183–187
Custom ABC books, 7
Data charting, 47, 155–156
sample, 155
Directions, 57–61
student, 57–61
sample, 60
Discussion webs, 47–48
sample, 48
Draft, 53
final, 53
Dramatic writing, lesson, 57–58
Drawing, 75–80
e-mails to scientists, 7–8
Ecosystem journalism, 177–181
student newspaper, 177–181
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Editing, peer</td>
<td>111–113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational web logs for teachers</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical engineering</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary grades</td>
<td>31–39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering careers</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English immersion</td>
<td>69–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English language learners</td>
<td>75–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drawing, 75–80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry in journal, sample</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental engineering</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essays</td>
<td>139–141, 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation form</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment procedures</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explaining, as science inquiry skill</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration, 205–209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensions</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback</td>
<td>2, 242–243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field guides, 145–151, 153–160 page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sample, 148, 158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rubrics, 150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sample, 150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final draft</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First grade, 153–160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flipbook</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>construction, 102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>text example, 103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus statements</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensic science</td>
<td>189–192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>community involvement, 190–191</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mock case, 191–192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalizations</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic engineering</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphic organizers</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphs</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluation of, 97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRASP strategy</td>
<td>46–47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guiding journal entries</td>
<td>240–241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guiding science journal entries</td>
<td>240–241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiku writing</td>
<td>115–121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assignments, 119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benefits of, 116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>children writing, 117–119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extensions, 120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>student perspectives, 119–120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home web logs, with student postings</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesizing</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical letters</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying critical attributes</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illustrated information books</td>
<td>161–166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaginative writing</td>
<td>29–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion in English</td>
<td>69–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inference charts, observations, contrasted, 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inquiry assignments</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inquiry skills</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insights</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive notebooks</td>
<td>233–237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rubrics, 236</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategy, 208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive reflective logs</td>
<td>259–264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amplified assessment</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creating, 259–261</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interactive nature of</td>
<td>263–264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reflective thinkers</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sample, 262–263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive science notebooks</td>
<td>208, 233–237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpreting data, as science inquiry skill</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverted-pyramid writing</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation</td>
<td>85–89, 91–99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRLs. See Interactive reflective logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal entries</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sample, 157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal prompts, student responses</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal rubrics</td>
<td>230, 244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal scale rubrics</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal writing</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journaling rubric scale</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journalism, student newspaper</td>
<td>177–181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journals, 5–6, 139–143, 227–231, 239–245</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>analyzing, 228–229</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assessment, 142–143, 244–245</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before/after reflections, 229–230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defining, 239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
essays, 139–141
feedback, 242–243
guiding journal entries, 240–241
letters, 141–142
logistics, 240
makeover, 228
motivation, 241–242
notebooks, contrasted, 277
notebooks contrasted, 277
poetry, 142
reviewing, 271–275
  age-appropriate responses, 273
  Children’s Literacy and Science Project, 271
  conceptualizing science, 272
decision making, 274
instructural structuring, 272–273
interpretation, 274
misconceptions, identifying, 272
view selection, 273–274
student analysts, 230–231
students with special needs, 243
value of, 239–240
Justifications, 251

K-W-L chart, 159
Key-word process, 203
Kinesthetic writing, 101–103

Lab report rubrics, 107, 113
Lab reports, 105–109
  assessing success, 108–109
  demonstrating learning, 107–108
  hands-on activity, 105–106
Label analysis, 20–21
Learning logs, 247–252
  applications, 251
  explanation, 251
  generalizations, 251
  justifications, 251
  reflection, 248–249
  rubrics, 249–251
Letters, 141–142
Letters to authors, 28
Linear strings, 33
Listing variables for experiment, 93
Logic, respect for, 222
Logistics, journals, 240
Logs, reflective, interactive, 259–264
  amplified assessment, 264
  creating, 259–261
  interactive nature of, 263–264
  reflective thinkers, 264
Longer projects, strategy for, 202
Maps, 154
Measures of success, 37
Mechanical engineering, 131
Misconceptions, identifying, 272
Mock case, forensic science, 191–192
Mode continuum phases, 70
  experimenting, 70
  journal writing, 70–71
  learning key vocabulary, 70–71
  teacher-guided reporting, and, 70–71
Motivation, 241–242
Multigenre lab reports, 105–109
  assessing success, 108–109
  demonstrating learning, 107–108
  hands-on activity, 105–106
  rubrics, 107
Mystery box writing, 167–172
Mystery plant identification worksheet, 147
National Science Education standards, 116
Nature detectives, assignments for, 155
Nature of science, 221
News clips, 22
Newsletters, 135–137
  student, sample, 136
Newspaper task sheet, 129
Newspapers, 127–133, 177–181
  content ideas, 131
Nonfiction, 5–9, 219–225
Notebook entries, 76
Notebook rubrics, 236
Notebooks, 76, 233–237, 265–269, 277
  assessing, 235–236
assessing background knowledge, 268
becoming records, 269
interactive, 233–237
journal, contrasted, 277
journals, contrasted, 277
prior knowledge assessment, 265–266
quick writes, 266–269
as records, 269
science journals, contrasted, 277
writing prompts, 268

Observational skills, 14, 115–121
Observations, inference charts, contrasted, 6
Organizing information, 32–33
Outlines, 53

Peer editing, 111–113
Persuasive writing, 26
Plagiarizing, 45–48
Planning, as science inquiry skill, 14
Pluto project, 27
Poetry, 142
acronym explanation, 278
scoring rubric, 279
Pop-up biography, 222–224
Prairie resources, 179
Prediction, as science inquiry skill, 14
Prelab investigation, 92
Prior knowledge assessment, 265–266
Process steps analysis, 19
Prompts
sample, 36
writing, 5, 34, 36, 51–52, 268
Proposal writing, 21–22
Publishing student newspaper, 179

Questioning, as science inquiry skill, 14
Quick writes, 266–269

Rationale for science writing, 1, 193–196
Reading comprehension, 11–16
Records, science notebooks as, 269
Reflection, 33–35, 248–249
student, sample, 159
Reflective logs, 259–264
amplified assessment, 264
creating, 259–261
interactive, sample, 262–263
interactive nature of, 263–264
reflective thinkers, 264
Reflective thinkers, 264
Refutational-text grading rubric, 53
Refutational texts, 50
Refutational writing prompt, 51
Related variables sets, 94
Reporting on accidents, 20
Reports, 8
Research, 63–65
via web, 22
Reviewing, as science inquiry skill, 14
Reviewing journals, 271–275
age-appropriate responses, 273
Children’s Literacy and Science Project, 271
case conceptualizing science, 272
decision making, 274
instructional structuring, 272–273
interpretation, 274
misconceptions, identifying, 272
view selection, 273–274
Role playing, 26–28
Rough drafts, 53
Rubrics, 37, 98, 159
analytical, 49–55
science writing, 49–55
assessment, 179
field guide, sample, 150
interactive notebook, 236
journals, 230, 244
lab reports, 98, 113
learning log, 249–251
multigenre lab report, 107
P.O.E.T.R.Y. scoring, 279
refutational-text grading, 53
sample field guide, 150
science interactive notebook, 236
Safety procedures, 96
Sample chapter, student-authored book, 6
Sample data chart, 155
Sample discussion web, 48
Sample field guide page, 148, 158
Sample field guide rubrics, 150
Sample interactive reflective log, 262–263
Sample journal entry, 157
Sample prompt, corresponding writing, graphic organizer, 36
Sample science journal entry, 157
Sample student directions, 60
Sample student newsletter, 136
Sample student reflection, 159
Sample writing technique, 197–203
Scavenger hunts, 154
Science careers, 131
Science-cognition-literacy framework, 11–16
Science interactive notebooks, 234, 236
rubric, 236
Science journals, 5–6, 139–143, 227–231, 239–245
defining, 239, 277–278
entry, sample, 157
logistics, 240
makeover, 228
prompts, student responses, 5
reviewing, 271–275
age-appropriate responses, 273
Children’s Literacy and Science Project, 271
conceptualizing science, 272
decision making, 274
instructional structuring, 272–273
interpretation, 274
misconceptions, identifying, 272
view selection, 273–274
rubric, 244
rubric scale, 230
science notebook, contrasted, 277
writing, 70
Science newspaper task sheet, 129
Science notebooks, 76, 233–237, 265–269, 277
assessing, 235–236
becoming records, 269
interactive, 233–237
journal, contrasted, 277
journals, contrasted, 277
prior knowledge assessment, 265–266
quick writes, 266–269
as records, 269
science journal, contrasted, 277
science journals, contrasted, 277
writing prompts, 268
Science wheel, writing in, 41, 43
Science writing
analytical rubrics, 49–55
as assessment tool, 25–30
biographies, 219–225
blogs, 253–258
creative writing, 211–214
current science, 123–125
curricula connection, 183–187
dramatic writing, 57–58
drawing, 75–80
ecosystem journalism, 177–181
editing by peers, 111–113
English language learners, 75–80
field guides, 145–151, 153–160
first grade, 153–160
forensic science, 189–192
haiku, 115–121
illustrated information books, 161–166
interactive reflective logs, 259–264
interactive science notebooks, 233–237
investigation, 85–89, 91–99
journals, 139–143, 227–231, 239–245
kinesthetic writing, 101–103
lab reports, 105–109
learning logs, 247–252
learning tool, 41–44
multigenre lab reports, 105–109
mystery box writing, 167–172
newsletters, 135–137
newspapers, 127–133, 177–181
nonfiction, 5–9, 219–225
peer editing, 111–113
plagiarizing, 45–48
reading comprehension, 11–16
reasons to write, 193–196
refutational texts, 49–55
reviewing journals, 271–275
sample writing technique, 197–203
science inquiry skills, 11–16
science notebook, 265–269
scientist’s view, 81–83
strategies for, 17–23
structured English immersion science, 69–74
student-authored books, 6
student directions, 57–61
student writing, 63–67
third grade, 173–175
trade books, 183–187
upper elementary grades, 31–39
web logs, 253–258
Scientific attitudes, 222
Scientific directions, 21
Scientific reporting, 21
Scientist’s view, 81–83
SCL framework. See Science-cognition-literacy
SEL. See Structured English immersion
Servers, web logs, 255
Solar cooker lab, 92–97
Sources, integrating, 47
Special needs, students with, 243
Story writing, 22
Strategies for writing, 17–23
Streamlining, 66–67
Structured English immersion, 69–74
Student-authored books, 6–7
Student-centered labs, 91–92
Student directions, 57–61
sample, 60
Student journals, 139–143
assessments, 142–143
essays, 139–143
letters, 141–142
poetry, 142
Student maps, 154
Student newsletters, 135–137
sample, 136
Student newspapers, 127–133, 177–181
Student permission slip, web log use, 255
Student reflection, 159
Student research paper, key-word process, 203
Student surveys, 64–65
Students with special needs, 243
Success, measures of, 37
Supporting details, 34
Supports in science writing, 1–2
Task organization, 42
Task sheet, genetics assignment, 43
Teachers’ insights, 38
Technical directions, 21
Techniques, 197–203
Testable problems, 94
Thinkers, reflective, 264
Third grade, 173–175
Trade books, 183–187
Upper elementary grades, 31–39
Value of science journals, 239–240
Variables sets, relation of, 94
Verification, 222
Water cycle, 211–214
Web discussion, 47–48
sample, 48
Web logs, 253–258
assessment, 258
servers, 255
student permission slip, 255
for teachers, 255
Web quest research, 22
Web research, 22
Wheel, science, writing in, 41, 43
Writing
analytical rubrics, 49–55
as assessment tool, 25–30
biographies, 219–225
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>blogs</td>
<td>253–258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creative writing</td>
<td>211–214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>current science</td>
<td>123–125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>curricula connection</td>
<td>183–187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dramatic writing</td>
<td>57–58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drawing</td>
<td>75–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ecosystem journalism</td>
<td>177–181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>editing by peers</td>
<td>111–113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English language learners</td>
<td>75–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>field guides</td>
<td>145–151, 153–160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>first grade</td>
<td>153–160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forensic science</td>
<td>189–192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>haiku</td>
<td>115–121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>illustrated information books</td>
<td>161–166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interactive reflective logs</td>
<td>259–264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interactive science notebooks</td>
<td>233–237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>investigation</td>
<td>85–89, 91–99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>journals</td>
<td>139–143, 227–231, 239–245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kinesthetic writing</td>
<td>101–103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lab reports</td>
<td>105–109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning logs</td>
<td>247–252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning tool</td>
<td>41–44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multigenre lab reports</td>
<td>105–109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mystery box writing</td>
<td>167–172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>newsletters</td>
<td>135–137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>newspapers</td>
<td>127–133, 177–181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonfiction</td>
<td>5–9, 219–225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peer editing</td>
<td>111–113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plagiarizing</td>
<td>45–48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reading comprehension</td>
<td>11–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reasons to write</td>
<td>193–196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>refutational texts</td>
<td>49–55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reviewing journals</td>
<td>271–275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sample writing technique</td>
<td>197–203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>science inquiry skills</td>
<td>11–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>science notebook</td>
<td>265–269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scientist’s view</td>
<td>81–83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategies for</td>
<td>17–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>structured English immersion science</td>
<td>69–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>student-authored books</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>student directions</td>
<td>57–61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>student writing</td>
<td>63–67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>third grade</td>
<td>173–175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trade books</td>
<td>183–187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upper elementary grades</td>
<td>31–39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>web logs</td>
<td>253–258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing center activities</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing prompts</td>
<td>5, 34, 36, 51–52, 268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing technique, sample</td>
<td>197–203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanga, use of</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xboxes</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoology</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoom-ins</td>
<td>146, 149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>