Presenter:		
Project:		
Evaluator:	Date:	

Oral presentation scoring rubric.

Criteria	Weight	Unsatisfactory (below performance standards).	Proficient (minimal criteria).	Advanced (demonstrates exceptional performance).
Structure and organization Introduction Main ideas Supporting materials Conclusion Length requirement Time:	30%	 No formal introduction or introduction had no clear thesis statement or did not offer a topic preview. Main ideas were not separated into a logical progression. Important ideas were not supported with references or data. No conclusion or conclusion did not adequately summarize presentation. Presentation did not use time allotted. 0-20 	 Introduction had clear thesis statement and a topic preview. Main ideas were separated into a logical progression. Speaker supported important ideas and viewpoints through accurate and detailed references to text or other works. Conclusion restated thesis statement and summarized the ideas presented. Time requirement was met for specific assignment (neither too long nor too short). 	 In addition to meeting the "proficient" criteria Clever attention-getting introduction or an imaginative thesis and preview. Ideas connected by original transitions, logical organization, and a creative pattern. Conclusion tied speech together and left audience with memorable message. Speaker used logical, ethical, and emotional appeals that enhanced tone and purpose. 27–30
 Vocal Expression Rate and volume of speech Pitch, articulation, and pronunciation 	20%	 Speaker was hard to hear or understand. Voice or tone distracted from purpose of presentation. Excessive use of verbal fillers. 	 Speaker was easy to hear and understand. Tone was conversational, but with purpose. Voice sounded natural, neither patterned nor monotone. Speaker pronounced words clearly, correctly, and without verbal fillers. 14–17 	 In addition to meeting the "proficient" criteria Speaker was enjoyable to hear; used expression and emphasis. Speaker used voice to create an emotional response in audience.

Physical characteristics	15%	 Little eye contact with audience. Poor or slouchy posture. Movements were stiff or unnatural. Attire was inappropriate for audience. 	 Strong eye contact with entire audience. Posture conveyed confidence. Gestures and movements were natural and effective. Attire was appropriate for audience and purpose. 	 In addition to meeting the "proficient" criteria Posture was commanding and purposeful. Attire was chosen to enhance presentation.
Appropriateness of content and language	15%	 Speaker used inappropriate language, content, or examples for the audience. Speaker did not demonstrate a clear understanding of the assignment or purpose of presentation. 	 Speaker obviously considered the audience and used appropriate language and examples. Speaker displayed a clear understanding of assignment requirements and content. Speaker understood purpose of presentation. 	In addition to meeting the "proficient" criteria • Examples and words were creative and well chosen for target audience.
 Overall impact Energy Enthusiasm Sincerity Originality and creativity 	10%	• Speaker appeared bored by the message or presented without conviction. 0–6	• Speaker appeared to believe strongly in message and demonstrated desire to have audience listen, understand, and remember. 7–8	In addition to meeting the "proficient" criteria • Overall presentation was creative and exciting.
Features	10%	• Materials detracted from content or purpose of presentation or were of such low quality as to discredit speaker. 0–6	 Materials added to content and purpose of presentation. Materials used were quality, easy to see and hear products. 	 In addition to meeting the "proficient" criteria Speaker creatively integrated a variety of objects, charts, and graphs to amplify the message. 9–10

Additional comments: