

Rubric for analysis of student understanding of evidence-based explanations.

Examining dimensions of evidence-based explanations

Dimension	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
1. Degree to which the student makes comparisons among pieces of evidence.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student uses only one form of evidence. ○ For example: discussion of data in a simple experiment, or discussion of an amino acid sequence in a textbook example. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student <i>reports</i> multiple forms of evidence. ○ For example: <i>reports</i> data from a complex controlled experiment, or <i>reports</i> about human, chimp, and gorilla amino acid sequences from a textbook example. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student <i>compares</i> multiple forms of evidence (data from one investigation or multiple investigations) in a sophisticated way or considers counterevidence. ○ For example: <i>compares</i> findings from complex experiment(s), or <i>compares</i> amino acids sequences from humans, chimps, and gorillas from a textbook example.
2. Degree of depth in student's explanation.	<p><i>Explanations with theoretical components:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student describes <i>what</i> happened. ○ Student describes, summarizes, or restates a pattern or trend in data without making a connection to any unobservable/theoretical components. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student describes <i>how</i> or partially why something happened. ○ Student addresses unobservable/theoretical components tangentially. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student explains <i>why</i> something happened. ○ Student can trace a full causal story for why a phenomenon occurred. ○ Student uses powerful science ideas that have unobservable/theoretical components (such as kinetic molecular theory) to explain observable events.

	<p><i>Explanations with mathematical components:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student describes <i>what</i> happened. ○ Student describes, summarizes, or restates a pattern or trend in data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student describes <i>how</i> something happened. ○ Student links observations to mathematical concepts in isolation. ○ For example: correlates the number of strings supporting a load in a pulley system with the effort to lift the load. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student explains <i>why</i> a mathematical model accounts for a phenomenon. ○ Student links observations to statistical or other mathematical models. ○ Student explains the links between observations and statistical or other mathematical expressions.
<p>3. Degree to which evidence and explanations are integrated in written products.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student reports of data are sandwiched in between descriptions of what happened. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student begins to describe how data are about a larger idea. ○ Connections between evidence and explanations are implied but not fully described. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student writes about how observable/measurable components are cases of unobservable/theoretical ideas. ○ Students can identify how the specific component from the investigation(s) relates to the general case from theory or a complex mathematical relationship. ○ Student explanation contains a claim that justifies the link between observable data and unobservable/theoretical components.